1	Laura Vartain Horn (SBN 258485) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP		
2	555 California Street, Suite 2700		
3	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 439-1625 laura.vartain@kirkland.com		
4	Allison M. Brown (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )		
5	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 2005 Market Street, Suite 1000		
6	Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 268-5000		
7	alli.brown@kirkland.com		
8	Christopher V. Cotton (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.		
9	2555 Grand Boulevard Kansas City, MO 64108		
10	Telephone: (816) 474-6550 ccotton@shb.com		
11	Attorneys for Defendants		
12	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., RASIER, LLC, and RASIER-CA, LLC		
13	LINUTED OT A	TEC DICTRICT COURT	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
17	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT	Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB	
18	LITIGATION	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING SECOND MOTION TO COMPEL COMPLIANCE WITH	
19	This Document Relates to:	COURT ORDERS	
20	Jane Doe LS 333 v. Uber Technologies,		
21	Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-05930-CRB		
22	Jane Doe LS 397 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05864-CRB		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE SECOND MOT	ION	
	TO COMPEL COMPLIANCE WITH COU		

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having considered Defendants' Second Motion to Compel Compliance with Court Orders, the Court finds that the Plaintiffs Jane Doe LS 333 and Jane Doe LS 397 have violated this Court's September 9, September 22, and November 19, 2025 Orders (ECF 3876, 3904 & 4443) by failing to produce responsive documents as ordered by this Court.

- 1. The Court therefore hereby ORDERS Plaintiffs Jane Doe LS 333 and Jane Doe LS 397 to comply with this Court's September 9, September 22, and November 19, 2025 Orders by producing the documents called for by the September 9 Order within five court days. If either Plaintiff takes the position that no responsive documents exist, that Plaintiff must provide a sworn declaration within five court days explaining why the documents identified by Uber in its Motion are allegedly not available for production. If either Plaintiff neither produces all responsive documents nor provides the declaration described herein, such Plaintiff(s) will be found in contempt of Court. Further proceedings will be addressed separately in the event either Plaintiff is in contempt of Court.
- 2. Each Plaintiff shall appear for deposition, as previously ordered by the Court for up to three hours, no later than 30 days after entry of this Court's order.
- 3. Plaintiffs' counsel shall provide within five court days a sworn declaration that addresses counsel's presuit investigation as to the claims of Plaintiffs Jane Doe LS 333 and Jane Doe LS 397, including a privilege log of all communications with each Plaintiff.
- 4. [Defendants defer to the Court to insert whatever relief and other language the Court deems necessary to address these Plaintiffs' fraud and non-compliance with Court orders, and to deter future fraud and noncompliance with this Court's orders.]

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:	, 202_	
		HON. CHARLES R. BREYER
		United States District Court Judge

[PROPOSED] ORDER RE SECOND MOTION
TO COMPEL COMPLIANCE WITH COURT ORDERS